



**Signed and Filed: December 3, 2024**

Lewis Montali

**DENNIS MONTALI**  
**U.S. Bankruptcy Judge**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re: ) Bankruptcy Case  
PG&E CORPORATION, ) ) No. 19-30088-DM  
- and - ) ) Chapter 11  
 ) ) Jointly Administered  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, ) )  
Reorganized Debtors. ) )  
 )  
□ Affects PG&E Corporation )  
□ Affects Pacific Gas and )  
    Electric Company )  
☒ Affects both Debtors )  
 )  
\* *All papers shall be filed in )  
the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM). )*

ORDER (1) GRANTING EX PARTE MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING  
REORGANIZED DEBTORS TO EXCEED THE 250 CLAIM PER OMNIBUS  
OBJECTION LIMIT AND (2) DENYING 40TH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS  
ADOPTING THE SECOND RKS AMENDMENT WITHOUT PREJUDICE

The court has reviewed Reorganized Debtors' Ex Parte Motion for Order Authorizing Reorganized Debtors to Exceed the 250 Claim Per Omnibus Objection Limit (Dkt. 14652); RKS Claimants' Objection to Ex Parte Motion for Order Authorizing Debtors to Exceed the 250 Claim Per Omnibus Objection Limit (Dkt. 14656);

1 and Reorganized Debtors' Reply in Support of Ex Parte Motion for  
2 Order Authorizing Debtors to Exceed the 250 Claim Per Omnibus  
3 Objection Limit (Dkt. 14659).

4 The court agrees with Reorganized Debtors that exceeding  
5 the 250 claim limit set forth in the Securities Omnibus  
6 Objection Procedures (Dkt. 10015) is a matter of efficiency, as  
7 Reorganized Debtors' Fortieth Securities Claims Limited  
8 Supplemental Omnibus Objection to Claims Adopting the Second RKS  
9 Amendment ("Fortieth Omnibus Objection") (Dkt. 14649) would  
10 remain the same as to all claims whether Reorganized Debtors had  
11 to split the Fortieth Omnibus Objection into two Omnibus  
12 Objections to encompass all claims. Doing in two omnibus  
13 objections makes even less sense because all of the claimants  
14 are represented by the same counsel.

15 The court also agrees with the RKS Claimants that the  
16 hearing set by Reorganized Debtors on the Fortieth Omnibus  
17 Objection for January 14, 2025, is an attempt to circumvent the  
18 court's clear directive that it would not entertain further  
19 motions to dismiss at this stage of the Securities Claims  
20 Objections process (See Dkt. 14593 at 3). While it is  
21 appropriate that the Reorganized Debtors preserve the objections  
22 contained in the Fortieth Omnibus Objections, those objections  
23 may be heard and disallowed on a motion for summary judgment  
24 pursuant to the current schedule entered by the court. They may  
25 not be challenged as a motion to dismiss, as is clear what  
26 Reorganized Debtors attempt by the prayer at the conclusion of  
27 Dkt. 14649: "PG&E respectfully requests that the Court dismiss  
28 or strike the RKS Claimants' allegations"

1 Accordingly, the court HEREBY ORDERS:

2 1. Ex Parte Motion for Order Authorizing Reorganized  
3 Debtors to Exceed the 250 Claim Per Omnibus Objection  
4 Limit is granted;

5 2. The Fortieth Omnibus Objection is denied without  
6 prejudice as it contravenes the Order Setting Schedule  
7 for Pre-Trial and Adjudication of Securities Fraud  
8 Claims (Dkt. 14643);

9 3. The hearing on the Fortieth Omnibus Objection set for  
10 January 14, 2025, is dropped from the court's  
11 calendar; and

12 4. The Reorganized Debtors' rights regarding the Fortieth  
13 Omnibus Objection are reserved.

14 **\*\*END OF ORDER\*\***